```
00001
 1
 2
 4
 5
6
7
          LAW SOCIETY OF UPPER CANADA
 8
9
            CONVOCATION
 10
11
12
13
              IN PUBLIC SESSION
14
15
16
17
18
    THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 24th, 2011 - 9:00 a.m.
19
20
 21
22
              OSGOODE HALL, TORONTO
 23
 24
25
00002
```

1 CONVOCATION ATTENDANCE - MORNING SESSION

2	Treasurer - Lauri	e Pawlitza
3	W. Dan Chilcott (T)	E. Susan Elliott
4	George D. Hunter (T)	Vern Krishna
5	Gavin MacKenzie	Marion Boyd
6	Seymour Epstein	Dow Marmur (T)
7	Jack Rabinovitch	Catherine Strosberg
8	Patrick Furlong	Ross Murray
9	Raj Anand	Constance Backhouse
10	Christopher D. Bredt	John A. Campion
11	James R. Caskey	Thomas G. Conway
12	Marshall Crowe	Mary Louise Dickson
13	Lawrence Eustace	Julian Falconer
14	Carl Fleck	Avvy Go
15	Alan D. Gold	Jennifer Halajian (T)
16	Carol Hartman (T)	Thomas Giles Heintzman
17	William E. Kaplan	Susan T. McGrath
18	Janet E. Minor	Julian Porter
19	Judith M. Potter	Nicholas John Pustina
20	Susan Richer	Heather Ross
21	Linda Rothstein (T)	Mark Sandler
22	Paul Schabas	Alan G. Silverstein
23	William J. Simpson	Gerald A. Swaye
24	Beth Symes	Bonnie A. Tough
25	Bradley H. Wright	W. Paul Dray
1	Michelle Haigh	
2		

TREASURER PAWLITZA: Now, moving on, there are a few -- can I remind everyone who is on the phone -- hello, is there anyone on the phone? We're getting feedback. Could you please star 6 to mute if you're not speaking, because otherwise we hear absolutely everything that's happening in the room in which you're calling from.

There are a few things I would like to mention this morning in the Treasurer's remarks. I would like to take a moment this morning to speak about paralegal

scope of practice.

You will recall that at the Law Society's Annual General Meeting in 2010, we committed to review the scope of practice of paralegals and since then we have considered how to approach the review and the steps that might be involved in that review.

As the regulator, the Law Society must ensure that our lawyers and paralegals are well trained and fully capable of serving the needs of their clients. As clients' needs change, our mandate requires that we address the growing variety of legal needs in a creative and accessible

way. In doing so, the Law Society's obliged to consider how we can assist the people of Ontario in finding the legal services they require from qualified legal professionals, which includes lawyers and paralegals.

Subsection 4.2(5) of the Law Society Act specifically refers to our need to set standards of learning and that restrictions on who may provide particular legal services ought to be proportionate to the significance of the regulatory objectives we are seeking to realize. Of course, our primary goal is to ensure that competent ethical and accessible legal services are available to the people of Ontario.

TREASURER PAWLITZA: As we consider the expansion of the scope of paralegal practices to address the evolving needs of Ontarians, as would be the case in any expansion in the provision of legal services, we must carefully consider the issues of training, of entry level competence, and accreditation.

So to begin the analysis of need, required competencies and the training necessary to meet the competencies, we must have a starting point. Now, any

discussion of a starting point is, by its very nature, arbitrary and it may be controversial. Nevertheless, we need to choose a specific starting point in order to move forward with the analysis.

The legal practice activities identified in the 2000 report, A Framework for Regulating Paralegal Practice in Ontario, are reasonable areas for examination. Significant work is necessary to complete an initial assessment of need and then to determine the knowledge, skills, and abilities that would be required to provide those services competently.

I want to stress that by using these activities as the starting point, we are not predetermining any part of the analysis. Once this work is completed, it will be reviewed by Convocation's committees and brought to Convocation for consideration, likely in the fall of 2011. Following consideration by Convocation, it's expected that a framework will then be established for a broader consultation with paralegals, with lawyers, the courts, and with others concerned with the provision of legal services.